

Commentary Peer Review**Name:****Corrected By:**

ELEMENT	COMMENTS
<p>Cover Sheet – are the following noted?:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Title of extract• Source of extract• Date of extract• Word count of commentary• Date the commentary was written• Section of the syllabus	
<p>Article Selection:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Is the article appropriate i.e. applicable to economic evaluation; too long, too short?• Have the relevant sections been highlighted?	
<p>Economic Theory:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Has the appropriate Eco theory been identified?• Has the Eco theory been applied appropriately i.e. is the theory linked to the particular extract?• Are there other Eco theories that would be helpful in explaining the situation?	

<p>Graphs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have the appropriate graphs been drawn? • Have they been labelled fully and precisely e.g. the x and y axis should specifically relate to the extract information? • Is the situation described in the article accurately represented in the graph (e.g. pay particular attention to things like elasticity, full employment equilibrium, etc) • Are there a sufficient number of graphs to clearly illustrate the situation? 	
<p>Definitions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have economic terms been defined? • Are there other economic terms that you feel require definition? <p>N.B. terms that have been defined in earlier commentaries do not need to be defined again</p>	
<p>Word Limit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is the commentary within the word limit i.e. 650-750 words • Has the word limit been managed effectively i.e. the majority of the words deal with analysis and evaluation versus summary and paraphrase? 	
<p>Other issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there any other advice that you would offer? – pay particular attention to syntax, logical flow, organization of argument, integration of graphs, etc. 	